Observation of the 0^+_2 $_2^+$ state in $^{44}\mathsf{S}$

S. Grévy^{1,a}, F. Negoita², I. Stefan³, N.L. Achouri¹, J.C. Angélique¹, B. Bastin¹, R. Borcea², A. Buta², J.M. Daugas⁴, F. De Oliveira³, O. Giarmana⁴, C. Jollet⁵, B. Laurent¹, M. Lazar², E. Liénard¹, F. Maréchal⁵, J. Mrázek⁶, D. Pantelica², Y. Penionzhkevich⁷, S. Piétri⁸, O. Sorlin³, M. Stanoiu⁹, C. Stodel³, and M.G. St-Laurent³

¹ Laboratoire de Physique Corpusculaire, IN2P3-CNRS, ENSICAEN and Université de Caen, F-14050 Caen cedex, France

2 Institute of Atomic Physics, IFIN-HH, Bucharest-Magurele, P.O. Box MG6, Romania

- ³ Grand Accelérateur National d'Ions Lourds, CEA/DSM-CNRS/IN2P3, BP 5027, F-14076 Caen cedex, France
- 4 CEA/DIF/DPTA/PN, BP 12, 91680 Bruyères le Châtel, France
- 5 IReS, IN2P3/ULP, 23 rue du Loess, BP 20, F-67037 Strasbourg, France
- ⁶ Nuclear Physics Institute, AS CR, CZ-25068 Rez, Czech Republic 7 FLNB, JMB, 141980 Dubna, Moscow region, Bussia
- ⁷ FLNR, JINR, 141980 Dubna, Moscow region, Russia
⁸ CEA Spelay, DAPNIA/SPbN, F. 01101 Cif.cur. Vyett.
- ⁸ CEA Saclay, DAPNIA/SPhN, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France
- ⁹ Institut de Physique Nucléaire d'Orsay, IN2P3-CNRS, F-91406 Orsay cedex, France

Received: 1 February 2005 / Published online: 28 July 2005 – © Società Italiana di Fisica / Springer-Verlag 2005

Abstract. We report preliminary results on the observation of the isomeric 0_2^+ state in ⁴⁴S. This state, populated in the fragmentation of a ⁴⁸Ca beam, is located at 1365 ± 1 keV and has a half-life of 2.3 ± 0.3 μ s. The observation of this isomer is the first experimental evidence of shape coexistence in ⁴⁴S which was predicted by various theoretical approaches. In particular, we found a good agreement with shell model calculations.

PACS. 23.20.Lv γ transitions and level energies – 23.20.Nx Internal conversion and extranuclear effects – 27.40.+z 39 ≤ A ≤ 58

1 Introduction

The evolution of the shell closures at large N/Z ratios is one of the most fascinating quest in nuclear structure. In particular, the evolution of the $N = 28$ shell closure below ⁴⁸Ca has been the subject of several theoretical publications which predict a progressive onset of deformation with either spherical/prolate $[1]$ or oblate/prolate $[2,3,4]$ $[2,3,4]$ $[2,3,4]$, 5] shape coexistence in 44 S. It is therefore important to characterize this nucleus by searching for a low-lying 0^+_2 state. This would give information about both the importance and the origin of the deformation at $Z = 16$. Moreover, whether the deformation persists in the ⁴²Si nucleus is highly debated [\[6\]](#page-2-5) and critically depends on the structure of 448 .

Recent experimental data for $E(2^+)$ and $B(E2)$ val-ues [\[7,](#page-2-6)[8\]](#page-2-7), $E(4^+)/E(2^+)$ ratios [\[9\]](#page-2-8), and β-decay studies below 48Ca [\[10\]](#page-2-9) point to a likely region of deformation in the S isotopic chain. In addition, low-energy isomers have been observed in the $N = 27 \frac{45}{\text{Ar}} [11]$ $N = 27 \frac{45}{\text{Ar}} [11]$ and ⁴³S [\[12\]](#page-2-11) isotones suggesting that excitations across the $N = 28$ gap are important. The evidence of such a cross shell excitation in ⁴⁴S would manifest itself through the presence of an 0^+_2 isomer that has not yet been found.

A dedicated experiment has been performed to detect the delayed converted electrons arising from the decay of an E0 isomer. We report here the first observation of the low-lying isomeric 0_2^+ state in ⁴⁴S.

2 Experimental setup

The experiment has been performed at the GANIL facility. The 44 S were produced by fragmentation of a 60 A MeV 48 Ca beam on a 611 μ m thick Be target and selected by the LISE3 spectrometer. The nuclei were implanted in a $45 \mu m$ kapton foil tilted at 28◦ with respect to the beam direction (effective thickness $\sim 96 \ \mu \text{m}$), see fig. [1.](#page-1-0) The identification was made event by event utilizing two $300 \mu m$ Si detectors that provided A and Z identification by energy loss and time-of-flight information, the second Si detector (position sensitive) being used to obtain information about the profile of the beam on the kapton foil. The third and fourth Si detectors (500 μ m each) were mounted on a rotatable

Corresponding author; e-mail: grevy@in2p3.fr

Fig. 1. Schematic view of the detection setup at the end of the LISE spectrometer.

Fig. 2. Decay time (top) and energy spectra (bottom) of the conversion electrons corresponding to the E0 transition detected in the $Si(Li)$ detector obtained in coincidence with ^{44}S ions. The inset shows a zoom on the region of the peak.

arm to adjust the effective matter thickness and implant the ions of interest in the last 30 μ m of the catcher foil. The depth of implantation was controlled using the veto Si detector (500 μ m) that was also used to reject fragments which passed through the implantation foil. The setup surrounding the collection point consisted of several detectors arranged perpendicularly to the beam axis: a liquid nitrogen cooled Si(Li) on the top for the conversion electrons and two segmented Ge clovers (Exogam) on the sides. The total efficiency of the Si(Li) and Ge detectors was estimated to be $\sim 6\%$ for energies between $\sim 200 \text{ keV}$ and 2 MeV and $\sim 3.5\%$ at 1.3 MeV, respectively.

Fig. 3. Proposed decay scheme of the 0^+_2 state in ⁴⁴S and the corresponding levels calculated by shell model calculations [\[1\]](#page-2-0).

3 Experimental results

The evidence for the presence of a 0^+_2 isomer was inferred from both the observed $E0$ and $E2$ transitions which feed the 0^+_1 (ground state) and 2^+_1 (1329 keV) states, respectively. The electron energy spectra obtained in the Si(Li) detector in delayed coincidence with the implantation of ⁴⁴S ions is shown in fig. [2](#page-1-1) along with the corresponding decay-time between the implantation and the decay. A peak at 1362.5±1.0 keV is clearly seen in the figure and has been attributed to the E0 transition by internal conversion electrons (IC) from the 0^{+}_{2} state located at $1365\pm1~\mathrm{keV}$ with a half-life of 2.3 ± 0.3 µs. Since the energy of the $0₂⁺$ state is greater than 1022 keV, it also decays by internal pair formation (IPF). This is confirmed from the time spectra measured in coincidence with the 511 keV gamma ray in the Ge detectors. Finally, the assumption of a 0^+_2 state is confirmed from the observation in the Ge detectors of the 1329 keV gamma ray which correspond to the de-excitation of the known 2^+ state in ^{44}S with a half-life of 2.3 ± 0.5 µs, the very low energy (36 keV) transition $0_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ being unobserved. The resulting decay scheme is shown in fig. [3.](#page-1-2)

4 Discussion

As shown in fig. [3,](#page-1-2) a good agreement is found between calculated and measured energies of the first excited states in 44 S. In this shell model calculation, the two 0^+ states are described as a mixture of closed-shell and np-nh excitations. The presence of a low-lying 0_2^+ state is considered as a signature of a spherical-deformed coexistence in the mean field and the present data therefore support the weakening of the $N = 28$ shell gap.

The data analysis is in progress to obtain the $B(E0)$ and $B(E2)$ values for the decay of the 0^+_2 state. This would help in the better understanding of the nature of this isomer, and in particular the difference in shapes between the two 0^+ states. We expect a large $B(E0)$ value between spherical and deformed states and a small value for an oblate-to-prolate transition. These experimental results will be compared to the models predicting both energy and transition probabilities to infer the origin of the shell weakening.

References

- 1. E. Caurier et al., Nucl. Phys. A 742, 14 (2004).
- 2. T.R. Werner et al., Nucl. Phys. A 597, 327 (1996).
- 3. G.A. Lalazissis et al., Phys. Rev. C 60, 014316 (1999).
- 4. S. Peru et al., Eur. Phys. J. A 9, 35 (2000).
- 5. R. Rodríguez-Guzmán et al., Phys. Rev. C 65, 024304 (2002).
- 6. S. Grévy et al., Phys. Lett. B 594, 252 (2004).
- 7. H. Scheit et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3967 (1996).
- 8. T. Glasmacher et al., Phys. Lett. B 395, 163 (1997).
- 9. D. Sohler et al., Phys. Rev. C 66, 054302 (2002).
- 10. O. Sorlin et al., Phys. Rev. C 47, 2941 (1993).
- 11. Z. Dombradi et al., Nucl. Phys. A 727, 195 (2003).
- 12. F. Sarazin et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5062 (2000).